UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,919	11/15/2001	Seung-Taek Hyon	678-0674	5088
	7590 03/13/200 L LAW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
290 Broadhollo		NGUYEN, KHAI MINH		
Suite 210E Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/002,919	HYON, SEUNG-TAEK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KHAI M. NGUYEN	2617		

	KHAI M. NGUYEN	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see below. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Regarding claims 10-17 and 19-29, Applicant argues, on pages 6-8 of the remarks, that Skelly in view of Kraft, Umeda and Watanabe do not disclose, teach, or suggest "grouping said plurality of emoticons and storing the emoticones by groups in the mobile terminal; displaying list of stored emoticons groups; the selection of an emoticon group; and dispalying the emoticons of an emoticon group selected by the user."

Skelly in view of Kraft, Umeda and Watanabe clearly disclose grouping said plurality of emoticons (see Skelly, col.1, lines 43-58 (set of bitmaps for each of the characters)) and storing the emoticones by groups in the mobile terminal (see Skelly, fig.2, and 3a-3b, storage 22, col.4, lines 7-13a (storage 22 (such as primary memory or secondary memory) to hold a copy of an operating system 24 and a comic generating system 26)) displaying list of stored emoticons groups (see Skelly, fig.2 and 3s, abstract, col.4, lines 27-48), the selection of an emoticon group (see Skelly, col.1, lines 43-58, and col.2, lines 35-45); and dispalying the emoticons of an emoticon group selected by the user (see Skelly, fig.2 and 3a, abstract, col.4, lines 27-48).

/Khai M Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617